



**Manchester
Metropolitan
University**

Hill, Matthew and Hurst, Steven (2020) The Trump presidency: continuity and change in US foreign policy. *Global Affairs*, 6 (1). ISSN 2334-0460

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/626292/>

Version: Accepted Version

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23340460.2020.1726788>

Please cite the published version

<https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk>

Matthew Hill, Liverpool John Moores University

Steven Hurst, Manchester Metropolitan University

The Trump Presidency: Continuity and Change in US Foreign Policy¹

All presidents promise change. Few presidents, however, promised change as radical as Donald Trump has. In the course of the 2016 election campaign Trump declared that NATO, was 'obsolete', that America's alliances with Japan and South Korea were unaffordable and that free trade agreements were a 'disaster' that had only led to the United States being 'ripped off'. In place of the positive-sum vision of liberal internationalism he proposed a transactional, zero-sum vision in which others' gains were identified as the United States' loss. His solution was 'to take care of this country first before we worry about everybody else in the world' (*New York Times*, 2016a, 2016b, 2016c).

To what extent Trump has implemented his pledge to transform US foreign policy remains hotly debated. A year into the Trump presidency, *Foreign Affairs* magazine asked 'has American foreign policy changed dramatically' under Trump. Of the thirty-one scholars who responded, six 'strongly agreed' that it had, fifteen agreed, four declared themselves neutral and six disagreed (*Foreign Affairs*, 2018). For the majority in the poll group Trump has followed through on his rhetoric since coming to office. Evidence cited includes the American withdrawal from a series of multilateral agreements, including the Paris Accords on climate change, the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) and the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA). Evidence is also found in Trump's initiation of trade conflicts with China and the EU and his continued embrace of illiberal regimes (see also Drezner, 2019; Ikenberry, 2018) Sestanovich, 2017; Skidmore, 2018; Wright 2019).

Others, however, emphasize the degree of continuity between the Trump administration and its predecessors. They cite the way in which Trump quickly backed away from his criticisms of NATO

¹ The editors would like to thank the BISA US Foreign Policy Working Group for organising the September 2018 conference from which the papers originated, and for supporting the symposium that brought the authors together to revise them. We would also like to thank the International Relations and Politics subject at Liverpool John Moores University for hosting and co-funding the conference and the symposium. Finally, we would like to thank the Department of History, Politics, and Philosophy at Manchester Metropolitan University for co-funding the symposium.

and recommitted to America's traditional alliances. Similarly, they note that after some initial inflammatory rhetoric Trump reengaged diplomatically with North Korea. Rather than withdrawing from Afghanistan, he increased the US troop presence there, and for all his warm words about President Putin, there has been no rapprochement with Russia (Abrams, 2017; Carafano, 2017; Carpenter, 2017; Dombrowski, 2018; Daojiong, 2017; Herbert et al 2019; Porter, 2018). John Mearsheimer speaks for this group when he states that 'if you look beyond President Trump's hot rhetoric, U.S. foreign policy certainly has changed in a handful of ways, but not in most ways, and certainly has not changed dramatically' (*Foreign Affairs*, 2018).

There is, in sum a profound dissensus as to whether Trump has transformed US foreign policy (see also Jervis et al, 2018). In part, of course, this is because it takes time before a full assessment of the impact of an administration is possible. Nonetheless, while temporal factors play a part, other variables are also at play here. In particular, the vast majority of recent analysis lacks explicit and/or rigorous engagement with theory and methods. Too much of the analysis involves cherry-picking different bits of empirical data without clear theoretical or methodological justification. How, for example, can we have a meaningful discussion about whether Trump has transformed US foreign policy if we do not first address the problem of how to define and measure change and continuity? The result is a dialogue of the deaf, in which scholars speak past rather than too each other, where the basis for claims about change or continuity is unclear and where the argument, and knowledge, consequently fails to progress.

The articles collected here begin the effort to rectify this situation by connecting the debate about Trump and change more thoroughly to issues of theory and method. We do not claim that in doing so we have all the answers: the debate is not miraculously resolved; all does not suddenly become clear. There is no consensus amongst the authors either as to which theories and methods one should use, nor as to whether Trump has, or has not, transformed US foreign policy. What we do claim, however, is that by being explicit in our application of theory and methods we take the debate forward, rather than simply going around in circles. We seek to move the debate beyond a focus on the empirical on to more fundamental questions of how we define, measure and explain change. In addressing these

questions the articles here seek to offer a clear, explicit and consistent basis for their selection of empirical data and interpretation thereof. That, in turn, allows for informed critique which speaks to, rather than past, those underlying premises and, as a result, a proper academic debate in which our knowledge and understanding of foreign policy change, and Trump's contribution to it, can move forward.

References

- Abrams E (2017) Trump the traditionalist: A surprisingly standard foreign policy. *Foreign Affairs*, 96 (4): 10–16.
- Carafano JJ (2017) The most shocking thing about Trump so far: How boring he's been. *National Interest*, 13 March. Available at: <https://nationalinterest.org/feature/the-most-shocking-thing-about-trump-so-far-how-boring-hes-19769> (accessed 12 October 2018).
- Carpenter TG (2017) Welcome to the foreign policy 'reassurance tour'. *National Interest*, 9 February 9. Available at: <http://nationalinterest.org/blog/the-skeptics/welcome-the-foreign-policy-reassurance-tour-19384> (accessed 12 October 2018).
- Daojiong Z (2017) China-US relations under Trump: More continuity than change. *Asian Perspective* 41 (4): 701-15.
- Dombrowski P (2018) Beyond the tweets: President Trump's continuity in military operations. *Strategic Studies Quarterly* 12 (2): 56-81.
- Drezner, D. W. (2019) 'This time is different: Why American foreign policy will never recover' *Foreign Affairs* May/June 2019 <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/2019-04-16/time-different>
- Foreign Affairs* (2018) Ask the experts: Has US foreign policy changed dramatically? 13 February. Available at: <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/ask-the-experts/2018-02-13/has-us-foreign-policy-changed-dramatically> (accessed 25 October 2018).
- Herbert J, McCrisken T and Wroe A (2019) *The Ordinary Presidency of Donald J. Trump*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ikenberry G J (2018) The end of liberal international order? *International Affairs* 94 (1): 7-23.
- Robert Jervis, Francis J. Gavin, Joshua Rovner and Diane N. Labrosse, ed (2018) *Chaos in the Liberal Order: The Trump Presidency and International Politics in the Twenty-First Century*. New York: Columbia University Press.

New York Times (2016a) Transcript: Donald Trump expounds on his foreign policy views. 26 March. Available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2016/03/27/us/politics/donald-trump-transcript.html> (accessed 9 November 2018).

New York Times (2016b) Transcript: Donald Trump's foreign policy speech. 27 April. Available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2016/04/28/us/politics/transcript-trump-foreign-policy.html> (accessed 9 November 2018).

New York Times (2016c) Transcript: Donald Trump on NATO, Turkey's coup attempt, and the World. 21 July Available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2016/07/22/us/politics/donald-trump-foreign-policy-interview.html> (access 13 November 2018).

Porter P (2018) Why America's grand strategy has not changed: Power, habit and the U.S. foreign policy establishment. *International Security* 42:4 9-46, 39.

Sestanovich S (2017) The brilliant incoherence of Trump's foreign policy. *The Atlantic*, May. Available at: <https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2017/05/the-brilliant-incoherence-of-trumps-foreign-policy/521430/> (accessed 13 November 2018).

Skidmore D (2018) Is Trump's unilateralist foreign policy an aberration? Not really, but his illiberalism is. 11 July. Available at: <https://medium.com/@david.skidmore/is-trumps-unilateralist-foreign-policy-an-aberration-c528cb1ea693> (accessed 5 October 2018).

Wright T (2019) Trump's foreign policy is no longer unpredictable. *Foreign Affairs*, 18 January. Available at https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/world/2019-01-18/trumps-foreign-policy-no-longer-unpredictable?utm_medium=newsletters&utm_source=twofa&utm_content=20190125&utm_campaign=TWOFA%20012419%20Trump%E2%80%99s%20Foreign%20Policy%20Is%20No%20Longer%20Unpredictable&utm_term=FA%20This%20Week%20-%20112017 (accessed 25 January 2019)